

A meeting of the

**West of England Combined Authority  
Overview & Scrutiny Committee**

will be held on

**Date: Monday, 24 January 2022**

**Time: 2.00 pm**

**Place: Council Chamber, Kingswood Civic Centre, High Street,  
Kingswood BS15 9TR**

Notice of this meeting is given to members of the West of England Combined Authority Committee as follows:

Cllr Winston Duguid, Bath and North East Somerset Council

Cllr Hal MacFie, Bath and North East Somerset Council

Cllr Geoff Gollop, Bristol City Council

Cllr Ed Plowden, Bristol City Council

Cllr Brenda Massey, Bristol City Council

Cllr Steve Pearce, Bristol City Council

Cllr Andrew Varney, Bristol City Council

Cllr Brian Allinson, South Gloucestershire Council

Cllr James Arrowsmith, South Gloucestershire Council

Cllr John Ashe, South Gloucestershire Council

Copy to North Somerset Council representatives:

Cllr Mike Bird, Cllr Peter Crew, Cllr Huw James

Enquiries to:

Ian Hird West of England Combined Authority Office

3 Rivergate

Temple Quay

Bristol, BS1 6EW

Email: [democratic.services@westofengland-ca.gov.uk](mailto:democratic.services@westofengland-ca.gov.uk)

Tel: 07436 600313

Members of the public may

- Observe all Combined Authority Committee meetings unless the business to be dealt with would disclose 'confidential' or 'exempt' information.
- Inspect agendas and public reports five clear working days before the date of the meeting.
- Inspect agendas, reports and minutes of Combined Authority Committees for up to six years following a meeting.
- Inspect background papers used to prepare public reports for a period of up to four years from the date of the meeting.
- Have access to a list setting out the decision-making powers the Combined Authority has delegated to their officers and the title of those officers.
- For further information about this agenda or how the authority works, please contact Democratic Services, telephone 07436 600313 or e-mail: [democratic.services@westofengland-ca.gov.uk](mailto:democratic.services@westofengland-ca.gov.uk)

Guidance for press and public attending this meeting:

The Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014 mean that any member of the public or press attending this meeting may take photographs, film or audio record proceedings and may report on the meeting including by use of social media (oral commentary is not permitted during the meeting as it would be disruptive). This will apply to the whole of the meeting except where there are confidential or exempt items, which may need to be considered in the absence of the press or public. If you intend to film or audio record this meeting please contact the Democratic Services Officer named on the front of the agenda papers beforehand, so that all necessary arrangements can be made. Some of our meetings are webcast. By entering the meeting room and using the public seating areas you are consenting to being filmed, photographed or recorded. At the start of the meeting, the Chair will confirm if all or part of the meeting is to be filmed. If you would prefer not to be filmed for the webcast, please advise an officer. An archived recording of the proceedings will also be available for viewing after the meeting. The Combined Authority may also use the images/sound recordings on its social media site or share with other organisations, such as broadcasters. To comply with the Data Protection Act 2018, we require the consent of parents or guardians before filming children or young people.

# AGENDA

## 1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

## 2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members who consider that they have an interest to declare are asked to:

- State the item number in which they have an interest,
- The nature of the interest,
- Whether the interest is a disclosable pecuniary interest, non-disclosable pecuniary interest or nonpecuniary interest.

Any Member who is unsure about the above should seek advice from the Monitoring Officer prior to the meeting in order to expedite matters at the meeting itself.

## 3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

p 5 - 14

To confirm the minutes of the previous meeting held on 13 December 2021 as a correct record.

## 4. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC (QUESTIONS; STATEMENTS)

### WRITTEN PUBLIC QUESTIONS (written procedure):

- Any member of the public can submit a maximum of two written questions in advance of this meeting.
- The deadline for the submission of questions is 5.00 pm, at least 3 clear working days ahead of a meeting. For this meeting, the deadline for questions is 5.00 pm on Tuesday 18 January.
- Questions should be addressed to the Chair of the meeting and e-mailed to [democratic.services@westofengland-ca.gov.uk](mailto:democratic.services@westofengland-ca.gov.uk)
- Under the direction of the Chair, wherever possible, written replies to questions will be sent to questioners by the end of the working day prior to the meeting.
- Please note - under the Combined Authority's committee procedures, there is no opportunity for oral supplementary questions to be asked at committee meetings.
- The written questions and replies will be circulated to committee members in advance of the meeting and published on the Combined Authority website.

### PUBLIC STATEMENTS:

- Any member of the public may submit a written statement (or petition) to this meeting.
- Please note that one statement per individual is permitted.
- Statements must be submitted in writing and received by the deadline of 12 noon on the working day before the meeting. For this meeting, the deadline for statements is 12 noon on Friday 14 January. Statements should be emailed to [democratic.services@westofengland-ca.gov.uk](mailto:democratic.services@westofengland-ca.gov.uk)
- Statements will be listed for the meeting in the order of receipt. All statements will be sent to committee members in advance of the meeting and published on the Combined Authority website.
- Please note: If any member of the public wishes to attend the meeting to orally present their statement, they are asked please to notify the Combined Authority's Democratic Services team of this at the point when their statement is submitted and by 12 noon on the working day before

the meeting at the very latest. For those presenting their statements at the meeting, up to 3 minutes 'speaking time' is permitted for each statement. The total time available for the public session at this meeting is 30 minutes.

All members of the public attending to present statements are requested please to take a Covid-19 lateral flow test 24 hours before the day of the meeting.

## **5. CHAIR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS**

## **6. UPDATE ON AND REVIEW OF 28 JANUARY WECA COMMITTEE AND WEST OF ENGLAND JOINT COMMITTEE REPORTS**

p 15 - 18

# **Minutes of the West of England Combined Authority Overview & Scrutiny Committee**

## **Monday, 13 December 2021**

Members present:

Cllr Winston Duguid, Bath and North East Somerset Council (Chair)  
Cllr Hal MacFie, Bath and North East Somerset Council  
Cllr Ed Plowden, Bristol City Council  
Cllr Andrew Varney, Bristol City Council  
Cllr Tim Rippington, Bristol City Council (substituting for Cllr Brenda Massey)  
Cllr James Arrowsmith, South Gloucestershire Council  
Cllr John Ashe, South Gloucestershire Council

Also in attendance:

Cllr Mike Bird, North Somerset Council

1

## **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

It was noted that apologies for absence had been received from Councillor Brian Allinson, Councillor Geoff Gollop, Councillor Gary Hopkins, Councillor Brenda Massey, Councillor Steve Pearce, Councillor Peter Crew and Councillor Huw James.

## **DISCUSSION WITH METRO MAYOR**

The Chair welcomed Metro Mayor Dan Norris to the meeting, noting that the Metro Mayor was able to attend for the first 30 minutes of this meeting.

Points raised / noted in discussion with the Metro Mayor:

- a. Spatial Development Strategy: Scrutiny members flagged the importance of progressing collaborative work across the authorities to meet the envisaged timeline for delivering the Spatial Development Strategy.
- b. Bus service cutbacks by operators: following concerns expressed by members, the Metro Mayor commented that there was a risk of irreparable damage to the region's bus network due to the potential loss of Bus Recovery Grant to the region from April next year. Earlier that month, he had written a joint letter with Councillor Donald Davies (Leader of North Somerset Council) to Baroness Vere, the Minister responsible for buses, setting out a clear message that this cliff-edge funding cut was pushing bus operators to plan for the cancellation of services. It was essential that this did not happen and he would press the government hard to confirm their continued funding support before irreversible decisions were taken by bus companies. He was acutely aware of the negative and serious impact that any such decisions would have for many residents, especially those who were vulnerable.
- Whilst appreciating the financial constraints that the Combined Authority was operating within, and the very real challenges faced by bus operators, scrutiny members agreed that this also highlighted the importance of maximising opportunities through the Bus Service Improvement Plan and Enhanced Partnership. Members also flagged that where operators take decisions that services must change in the current situation and given the ongoing constraints, it was essential to provide prompt and timely information to service users. The Metro Mayor stressed that he was determined to push for a London-style service; crucially, this should be a service used regularly by commuters across all sectors of the region's workforce; it would also be critical to secure an improved rural bus services offer.
- c. Scrutiny Transport Sub-Group: The Metro Mayor welcomed feedback arising from the recent meeting of the Scrutiny Transport Sub-Group, noting that the sub-group had flagged:
- \* their support for the approach of "sweating" existing assets as far as possible given the expense, long timescales and complexity of rail construction projects.
  - \* their strong support for improving the accessibility of stations, including the new eastern entrance to Temple Meads.
  - \* that in relation to the Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan, a key next step should be the development of a network map, both a tube-style map and a more detailed alignment map. The walking network also needed to be linked in and aligned with the High Streets work and Liveable Neighbourhoods work, so that walking to the local high street is encouraged as the norm.
- d. City Region Sustainable Transport Settlement: The award of £540m to the region through the City Region Sustainable Transport Settlement was discussed together with the need to focus on delivery in the next 5 years. It was also flagged that the Transport Sub-group had identified that they would like to see more information about the transport "hubs" and a

	<p>clearer, user-centred definition of their features and benefits; in the longer term, members would like to see maintenance support the improvement programmes by integrating with them in terms of timing and, where possible, using maintenance to support improvements. The Metro Mayor thanked scrutiny members for their positive and constructive input and reminded the committee that the £540m secured through the Settlement represented the highest level of investment secured per head of population when compared with the other funding awards.</p> <p>e. In relation to issues raised around governance and voting arrangements at recent Combined Authority and West of England Joint Committee meetings, the Metro Mayor stated that whilst he made no apologies for being a conviction politician and would always be guided in his voting by what he believed to be the best interests of the region, he also had deep regard for ensuring that all relevant procedures and voting requirements were adhered to strictly.</p> <p>At the conclusion of the discussion, the Chair thanked the Metro Mayor for his attendance.</p>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</b></p> <p>There were no declarations of interest.</p>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING</b></p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b> That the minutes of the meeting of the West of England Combined Authority Overview &amp; Scrutiny Committee held on 11 October 2021 be confirmed as a correct record.</p> <p>In relation to these minutes, as an update, Councillor Varney advised that through a question asked at a Bristol Full Council meeting, he had received an assurance that securing a solution to the Brislington A4 transport corridor would not involve building a new road on the Brislington railway path.</p>
<b>4</b>	<p><b>ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC (QUESTIONS; STATEMENTS)</b></p> <p>It was noted that 2 statements had been received in advance of the meeting. The statements had been circulated to committee members and were available to view on the Combined Authority web site.</p> <p>The statements received were on the following subjects: 1. David Redgewell - Transport issues (this statement was also presented at the meeting). 2. Graham Ellis - Rail issues</p>
<b>5</b>	<p><b>CHAIR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS / BUSINESS</b></p> <p>With the agreement of the Chair, and with reference to a feedback note (see Appendix 2), Councillor Ed Plowden provided an update following the 6 December meeting of the Transport sub-group, which he chaired.</p>
<b>6</b>	<p><b>UPDATE ON AND REVIEW OF 17 DECEMBER WEST OF ENGLAND JOINT COMMITTEE REPORTS</b></p> <p>Committee members reviewed and commented as follows on the 17 December Joint Committee reports:</p>

**a. West of England Joint Committee governance and voting arrangements**

\* It was noted that this report clarified that the Metro Mayor does not have a right of veto on matters relating to the Joint Committee and that all voting at Joint Committee meetings is by way of a simple majority of those present and voting.

**b. Joint Committee governance review**

\* It was noted that this report set out the following recommendations:

1. To commission the Monitoring Officers of the Unitary Authorities which constitute the Joint Committee to review the Joint Committee's current constitutional arrangements and to make recommendations which will be considered by the Joint Committee by March 2022.
2. To implement a review into the governance and administration of the Joint Committee's funding streams and to commission the Chief Executives and Monitoring Officers of the four Unitary Authorities to review the administrative arrangements for Joint Committee's investment sources including the Local Growth Fund (LGF), Economic Development Fund (EDF), Revolving Infrastructure Fund (RIF).
3. To requisition a meeting of the Joint Committee by March 2022 at Weston-Super-Mare's Town Hall to consider the next steps.

\* Members noted that this report had been submitted by the four unitary authorities and felt it would be appropriate for the scrutiny committee to be kept informed as this review is progressed.

\* Members also noted that under the current governance arrangements, it had been advantageous to all authorities to follow a 'single pot' approach, allowing flexibility in supporting projects across funding programmes such as the Local Growth Fund, Economic Development Fund and Revolving Infrastructure Fund. Members expressed the hope that the benefits of this approach would be given full and due consideration as part of this review, as this would help to continue to assist cashflow across projects and address issues around what could be arbitrary deadlines for using funds.

**c. Local Enterprise Partnership & Invest Bristol and Bath budget outturn, April-October 2021**

\* It was noted that this was the latest budget monitor report; also including the latest update on inward investment performance.

**d. Local Growth/Getting Building Funds, Economic Development Fund and Revolving Infrastructure Fund change requests**

\* It was noted that this report sought approval of the latest scheme change requests in relation to the above and approval of the West of England Local Growth Assurance Framework, updated to reflect national guidance.

\* Members indicated their general support for these proposals, noting that they largely replicated the proposals contained in the original October 2021 report.

\* With regard to Appendix 1, the Chair pointed out that there seemed to be a reasonably significant gap/underspend of £1,230,000 between the actual spend on project staff compared with the forecast figure.

**e. MetroWest phase 1b – Portishead line update**

\* It was noted that this report provided an update on progress on the delivery of MetroWest phase1b – the Portishead line, the delay to the Development Consent Order, and steps taken to mitigate that delay.

\* Members noted this update and expressed their appreciation of the urgent work taking place to resolve the issues around the Development Consent Order. Members also expressed



disappointment, however, that the Portishead line would be an hourly service – whilst understanding the reasons for this, to be truly transformational, members felt that Portishead needed a 30 minute service.

**f. West of England investment priorities**

\* It was noted that this report had been produced by the unitary authorities, to prompt discussion on the principles around regional investment priorities.

\* Members also noted that it was clear that important discussions needed to take place about investment prioritisation, recognising that it would not be possible to fund all individual unitary authority and Metro Mayor priorities.

\* Members felt it was essential, in taking forward these discussions, for all the authorities to demonstrate a willingness and ability to agree on and deliver the priorities, outcomes and optimised return on investment that the region’s residents need and deserve. Members were supportive of the approach that these need to be based on as wide a set of criteria as possible. It was also felt that determining a clear way forward and demonstrating a collaborative approach to delivering agreed priorities will be critical in attracting further government resources to the region.

**g. Western Gateway update**

\* It was noted that this report provided a progress update on the Western Gateway, a cross-border economic partnership of local authorities, city regions, local enterprise partnerships and governments in Wales and Westminister.

\* Members indicated they would be interested to see how the Western Gateway would develop, particularly in the context of the forthcoming government White Paper on levelling-up. It was noted that the partnership is likely to have a key role in taking forward major infrastructure projects that will bring benefits across that extended geographical area, noting that identified priority workstreams include tidal energy, the hydrogen ecosystem / capabilities and STEP fusion (noting that the the Western Gateway ‘Severn Edge’ nomination had been successful in being selected into the last five contenders for a UK first prototype fusion power plant).

**Note: following the meeting, the Chair (on behalf of the committee) submitted the comments (as set out in Appendix 1 below) to the 17 December 2021 meeting of the West of England Joint Committee:**

**APPENDIX 1**

**COMMENTS FROM CHAIR OF THE WEST OF ENGLAND COMBINED AUTHORITY OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**

**COMMENTS TO BE SUBMITTED TO:  
WEST OF ENGLAND JOINT COMMITTEE  
17 DECEMBER 2021**

I wish to present the following comments to the 17 December Joint Committee on behalf of scrutiny members:

## **1. Discussion with Metro Mayor:**

We were pleased that the Metro Mayor again attended our meeting.

We had a wide-ranging discussion including the following points:

\* We flagged the importance of progressing the collaborative work across the authorities to meet the envisaged timeline for delivering the Spatial Development Strategy (SDS). The next phase of release of documents that support the SDS including a new Memorandum of Understanding is January 2022. As we understand it, an essential decision that needs to be recorded in January is moving the formal decisions regarding the SDS process to April 2022, as this recording will be looked at by the government inspector.

\* We discussed the issues faced right across the region in relation to bus services. We appreciate the financial constraints that the Combined Authority is operating within, and the very real challenges faced by bus operators - this though also highlights the importance of maximising opportunities through the Bus Service Improvement Plan and Enhanced Partnership. We also flagged that where services must change in the current situation and given the ongoing constraints, it is essential to provide prompt and timely information to service users.

\* We discussed the award of £540m to the region through the City Region Sustainable Transport Settlement and the need to focus on delivery in the next 5 years. We also flagged that our Transport Sub-group would like to see more information about the transport “hubs” and a clearer, user-centred definition of their features and benefits. In the longer term, we would like to see maintenance support the improvement programmes by integrating with them in terms of timing and, where possible, using maintenance to support improvements.

\* We also flagged with the Metro Mayor a number of issues raised by the Transport sub-group in relation to rail - these included support for the approach of “sweating” existing assets as far as possible given the expense, long timescales and complexity of rail construction projects. There is also strong support for improving the accessibility of stations, including the new eastern entrance to Temple Meads.

\* In relation to the Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan, members feel a key next step is the development of a network map, both a tube-style map and a more detailed alignment map. The walking network also needs to be linked in and aligned with the High Streets work and Liveable Neighbourhoods work, so that we encourage walking to the local high street as the norm.

## **2. Comments on the 17 December Joint Committee reports:**

### **a. Agenda item 9 - West of England Joint Committee governance and voting arrangements**

We noted the legal update, clarifying the voting arrangements as they apply to the Joint Committee

### **b. Agenda item 10 - Joint Committee governance review**

We noted that this report was submitted by the four unitary authorities.

In terms of the governance review that is proposed, we would request that an appropriate officer or officers from the unitary authorities attend our next meeting on 24 January to provide an update on the review and to respond to any specific questions we may have.

We note that under the current governance arrangements, it has been advantageous to all

authorities to follow a 'single pot' approach, allowing flexibility in supporting projects across funding programmes such as the Local Growth Fund, Economic Development Fund and Revolving Infrastructure Fund. We hope that the benefits of this approach are given full and due consideration as part of this review, as this will help to continue to assist cashflow across projects and address issues around what can be arbitrary deadlines for using funds.

**c. Agenda item 11 – Local Enterprise Partnership & Invest Bristol and Bath budget outturn, April - October 2021**

We noted this report, on the basis that it is essentially the same report as was prepared for the October meeting.

**d. Agenda item 12 - Local Growth/Getting Building Funds, Economic Development Fund and Revolving Infrastructure Fund change requests**

We support these proposals, again noting that they largely replicate the original October report.

**e. Agenda item 13 - Metrowest phase 1B – Portishead line update**

We noted this update and are appreciative of the urgent work taking place to resolve the issues around the Development Consent Order. That said, scrutiny members are disappointed that the Portishead line will be an hourly service – whilst we understand the reasons for this, to be truly transformational, Portishead we feel needs a 30 minute service.

**f. Agenda item 14 - West of England investment priorities**

Again, we noted that this report has been produced by the unitary authorities.

It is clear that important discussions need to take place about investment prioritisation, recognising that it will not be possible to fund all unitary authority and Metro Mayor priorities.

It is essential that we demonstrate our willingness and ability to agree on and deliver the priorities, outcomes and optimised return on investment our residents need and deserve. We are supportive of the approach that these need to be based on as wide a set of criteria as possible.

**g. Agenda item 15 - Western Gateway update**

We noted this update and we will be interested to see how the Western Gateway will develop, particularly in the context of the forthcoming White Paper on levelling-up.

## **APPENDIX 2**

### **KEY FEEDBACK POINTS TO WECA SCRUTINY FROM TRANSPORT SUB-GROUP**

We did not discuss the very current issues about cutbacks in Bus services – but we have agreed to have two more themed meetings looking at Buses and then the big picture strategies

#### **Transport Delivery Plan**

##### Overall

- A useful list of projects with their key milestones was provided.
- It would be useful to have a more detailed overview of all projects currently being undertaken, at all stages of delivery, that includes financial information about the size of each element of the programme, and ideally a RAG risk assessment of each.
- It would also be useful to see a list of the projects that are no longer being

taken forward as we understand the DfT priorities have shifted.

- We understand and support the mass transit option for Bristol to Bath currently being a rapid bus-based approach. Increasing the mode share by public transport along this corridor is the best near-term approach to developing a business case for other potential future approaches and keeps our options open as new transit options, technologies and fuel options emerge.
- A briefing is to be re-arranged to discuss the Bristol end of the A4 project.

#### Rail

- A high-level overview was provided of the MetroWest programme.
- We support the approach of “sweating” existing assets as far as possible given the expense, long timescales and complexity of rail construction projects. This includes improving accessibility of stations, including a new entrance to Temple Meads.
- It may also include work to improve capacity of signals and track from the South of Temple Meads. The team are working with Network Rail to fund this, not our local programme
- Portishead Line – await FBC, disappointed that only one train per hour.
- Severn Beach – hoping that this will be covid-proof.
- Keenly awaiting 2023 and plans coming to fruition. Also concerned that there should be significant contingency built into all rail projects.

#### **City Region Sustainable Transport Settlement (CRSTS)**

This is a £540m fund over 5 years, which comprises new funding and the maintenance and integrated block funding from Government that previously went to individual Councils. The existing funding does not need to be matched.

The key aims of the new funds, which do need a minimum of additional 15% local match funds, are to drive growth and productivity through infrastructure investment; level up services towards the standards of the best; and decarbonise transport, especially promoting shift from cars to public transport, walking and cycling.

In summary:

- We support using the Investment Fund to prepare “pipeline” bids for other funding such as this.
- The match broadly needs to be matched by each Council according to their share of the allocation, which is pragmatic and it seems we are on track to deliver this.
- We would like to see more information about the “hubs” and a clearer, user-centred definition of their features and benefits.
- In the longer term we would like to see maintenance support the improvement programmes by integrating with them in timing and, where possible, to use them to support improvements rather than simply replacing “like for like”.
- There may be implications in the longer term to try and harmonise maintenance standards/approaches.
- In the short term we agree to continue what is already “in flight”.

#### **Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan**

Another Active travel Fund Bid is being prepared and the LCWIP is a key enabler of making a successful funding bid. We await news of current funding bids, including GP social prescribing.

Key issues:

- It is good to see the ATF and LCWIP in place and their potential to be

transformational.

- It is essential that they are integrated into a corridor approach and with public transport interchanges (rail and bus).
- The national standards (LTN1/20) are entirely appropriate as a local quality standard, and we will need to ensure that any deviation from them is agreed up front with Active Travel England.
- This is a live document and there is an urgent need to complete the actions identified in the consultation report that are outstanding, especially to define a vision and priorities for investment.
- Currently the LCWIP appears more as a feasibility study for various individual routes, which has been successful in attracting funding in the short term. We need a Network map, both a tube map (asap) and a more detailed alignment map.
  - This will help to guide developers, major corridor schemes and minor/maintenance works to all support putting the network together piece by piece.
  - It will ensure that cross boundary routes are aligned properly.
  - It should aid prioritisation of routes and the ability to join with other complementary funding streams.
- There is concern that the walking network needs to be aligned with High Street work and Liveable Neighbourhoods work, and this will be dependent on progress and political will in individual Unitary Authorities.

**Future Meetings**

We are suggesting themed meetings, partly to help officers plan for them. We welcome ideas for specific agenda items, suggesting that the themes would usefully be:

New Year: Focus on buses

Easter: Focus on the big picture strategies

**Signed:**

**Date:**

This page is intentionally left blank



## **WEST OF ENGLAND COMBINED AUTHORITY**

### **OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE - 24 JANUARY 2022**

### **OVERVIEW REPORT - 28 JANUARY WECA COMMITTEE AND WEST OF ENGLAND JOINT COMMITTEE REPORTS**

**DIRECTOR: SHAHZIA DAYA, DIRECTOR OF LEGAL SERVICES**

**AUTHOR: IAN HIRD, DEMOCRATIC SERVICES & SCRUTINY MANAGER**

#### **Purpose**

a. To update the Overview & Scrutiny Committee on the reports due to be considered at the 28 January meetings of the WECA Committee and the West of England Joint Committee.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

**That the committee identify any comments they wish to submit to the WECA Committee and to the West of England Joint Committee on 28 January 2022.**

#### ***28 January reports – overview:***

#### **WECA Committee:**

b. Reports on the following matters are due to be considered at the 28 January meeting of the WECA Committee:

#### **1. Mayoral and Combined Authority budget 2022/23 and medium-term financial forecast**

Purpose of item:

This report will set out the proposed Mayoral budget for 2022/23 which relates to those functions that fall under the specific responsibility of the Metro Mayor in accordance with the West of England Combined Authority Order 2017. The proposed budget will include the costs of the Mayor, related office expenses and support costs.

The report will also set out the wider proposed Combined Authority budget proposal for 2022/23 and provide a medium-term revenue outlook. The budget proposal will build on the previous years of operation for the Combined Authority and will reflect all previous committee decisions and funding allocations made which have ongoing impacts.

## **2. Capital Strategy report including Treasury Management & Investment strategies**

Purpose of item:

This report will present the Capital Strategy for the West of England Combined Authority including the

- \* detailed capital budget for 2022/23, and indicative budget up to 2024/25
- \* Combined Authority Investment Strategy 2021 - 2026
- \* Treasury Management Strategy for 2022/23

## **3. Investment Fund programme**

Purpose of item:

This report will update on the overall Investment Fund programme and the financial headroom within the programme and consider the future use of available headroom.

## **4. Investment Fund change requests**

Purpose of item:

This report will seek approval for particular change requests for schemes within the current Investment Fund programme.

Explanatory note: The Combined Authority Committee has agreed previously to delegate approval of changes within stated tolerances for schemes within the approved Investment Fund programme to the Combined Authority Chief Executive in consultation with the Chief Executives of the constituent councils. Changes which fall outside of these tolerances require a committee decision.

## **5. Regional Recovery and Adaptions Fund**

Purpose of item:

This report will seek approval for additional funding to support the next phase of regional recovery and adaption in response to the continued economic impact of the Covid-19 pandemic.



## **6. Green Recovery Fund**

Purpose of item:

Following the establishment of the Green Recovery Fund by the WECA Committee on 3 December, this report will update on the next steps for developing and assessing specific proposals to be supported through the fund.

## **7. City Region Sustainable Transport Settlement**

Purpose of item:

This report will seek agreement of the City Region Sustainable Transport Settlement submission to the Department for Transport and on the principles for delivery including:

- \* Prioritised programme and Strategic Outline Business Case, and associated Pipeline
- \* Local contributions, governance and delivery
- \* Maintenance programmes for 22/23
- \* Accelerating project business cases
- \* Capacity building

## **8. On Bus 'tap on / tap off' project**

Purpose of item:

This report will update members on development the On Bus 'Tap on, Tap off' project and seek approval of the Full Business Case.

## **9. Enhanced Partnership plan and scheme for buses**

Purpose of item:

This report will update the committee on the development of a joint Enhanced Partnership Plan and Schemes with North Somerset Council, as required by the National Bus Strategy, and seek delegated authority in relation to the approval of the final documents.

## **10. Supported bus services procurement**

Purpose of item:

This report will seek approval to carry out the procurement of supported bus services across the region.

## **11. Adult Education Budget – approach for the 2022/23 academic year**

Purpose of item:

This report will seek approval of the proposed approach for the management and administration of the devolved Adult Education Budget for the 2022/23 academic year.

## **12. Report of the Independent Remuneration Panel**

Purpose of item:

This report will present the recommendations of the recent review of member allowances undertaken by the Independent Remuneration Panel.

### **West of England Joint Committee**

c. The following report is due to be considered at the 28 January meeting of the Joint Committee:

#### **Local Enterprise Partnership budget setting report 2022/23**

This report will seek approval of the Budget in respect of the Local Enterprise Partnership for 2022/23.

d. The above reports are due to be published as soon as possible in the week starting 17 January; as soon as they are published, copies will be sent to the Overview and Scrutiny committee members.

e. At their 24 January meeting, the Overview & Scrutiny Committee is asked to consider and formulate any specific comments to submit to the 28 January meetings (a standard slot is included on each committee agenda to enable the Chair to present any comments on behalf of scrutiny members).

**Appendices:** None.

### **West of England Combined Authority Contact:**

Any person seeking background information relating to this item should seek the assistance of the contact officer for the meeting who is Ian Hird on 07436 600313; or by email: [democratic.services@westofengland-ca.gov.uk](mailto:democratic.services@westofengland-ca.gov.uk)